arXiv:1210.0412v1 [math.CO] 1 Oct 2012

THE RATE OF GROWTH OF THE MINIMUM CLIQUE SIZE OF GRAPHS OF GIVEN ORDER AND CHROMATIC NUMBER

CSABA BIRÓ AND KRIS WEASE

Abstract. Let $Q(n, c)$ denote the minimum clique number over graphs with n vertices and chromatic number c. We determine the rate of growth of of the sequence $\{Q(n, \lceil rn \rceil)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for any fixed $0 < r \le 1$. We also give a better upper bound for $Q(n, \lceil rn \rceil)$.

1. Introduction

Let $\omega(G), \alpha(G)$, and $\chi(G)$ denote the clique number, independence number, and chromatic number, respectively, of a graph G. We will also use $|G|$ to denote the number of vertices and $\|G\|$ to denote the number of edges of G. Furthermore, let

$$\omega(n, k) = \min\{\omega(G) : |G| = n \text{ and } \alpha(G) \le k\}$$

the inverse Ramsey number. Define

$$Q(n, c) = \min\{\omega(G) : |G| = n \text{ and } \chi(G) = c\}.$$

The goal of this research is to determine $Q(n, c)$ as exactly as possible.

Biró, Füredi, and Jahanbekam [1] gave an exact formula for $Q(n, c)$ for the case when $c \ge (n+3)/2$ in terms of inverse Ramsey numbers. They proved the following.

Theorem 1. *For* $n \ge 2k + 3$

$$Q(n, n - k) = n - 2k + q(k)$$

where

$$q(k) = \min \sum_{i=1}^{s} (\omega(2k_i + 1, 2) - 1)$$

where the minimum is taken over positive integers $k_1, \dots, k_s$ *with* $k_1 + \cdots + k_s = k$, *and* $s \le 3$.

Liu [3] determined the rate of growth of $Q(n, \lceil n/k \rceil)$ for k fixed positive integer, still, in terms of inverse Ramsey numbers. He proved that $Q(n, \lceil n/k \rceil) = \Theta(\omega(n, k))$ for k positive integer. The natural question (also specifically posed by Liu) remained to determine the rate of growth of the sequence in cases when k is not an integer. In this paper we provide the answer to this question proving the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Fix* $0 < r \le 1$ *and let* $k = \lfloor 1/r \rfloor$. *Then there exists* $0 < d_r \le 1$ *such that for* n *large enough*

$$d_r \omega(n, k) \le Q(n, \lceil rn \rceil) \le \omega(n, k).$$

We go beyond these bounds in Section 3: we provide a stronger upper bound for $Q(n, \lceil rn \rceil)$. We hope that the improved bound is close to the actual value, in fact it is plausible to believe that it is asymptotically correct.

2. Proof of the main theorem

In the following proof, we generalize the ideas of Liu's proof to make it work for arbitrary (non-integer) positive real numbers.

We will need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3. *For all* $0 < r \leq 1$*, and* n, k *positive integers, if* $rn \geq k$

$$\omega(\lceil rn \rceil, k) \geq \frac{1}{\lceil \frac{1}{r} \rceil} \omega(n, k)$$

Proof. Observe that ω is monotone and sub-additive in its first variable. Therefore

$$\left\lceil \frac{1}{r} \right\rceil \omega(\lceil rn \rceil, k) \geq \omega\left(\left\lceil \frac{1}{r} \right\rceil \lceil rn \rceil, k \right) \geq \omega(n, k).$$

□

Now we will prove that $Q(n, \lceil rn \rceil) \leq \omega(n, k)$; we do this by exhibiting a graph with n vertices, chromatic number $\lceil rn \rceil$, and clique number at most $\omega(n, k)$. Let G be a Ramsey graph with $|G| = n$, $\alpha(G) = k$, and $\omega(G) = \omega(n, k)$. Then

$$\chi(G) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n}{k} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{n}{\lfloor 1/r \rfloor} \right\rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{n}{1/r} \right\rceil = \lceil rn \rceil.$$

Drop edges from G until we get a subgraph G' with $\chi(G') = \lceil rn \rceil$. Then $|G'| = n$, and $\omega(G') \leq \omega(n, k)$.

Now we will prove the other inequality of the theorem by induction on k.

Consider the $k = 1$ case. In this case $\frac{1}{2} < r \leq 1$.

$$Q(n, \lceil rn \rceil) = Q(n, \lceil n - (1 - r)n \rceil) = Q(n, n - \lfloor (1 - r)n \rfloor).$$

By Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(n, \lceil rn \rceil) &= n - 2\lfloor (1 - r)n \rfloor + q(\lfloor (1 - r)n \rfloor) \geq n - \lfloor 2(1 - r)n \rfloor \\ &= \lceil n - 2(1 - r)n \rceil = \lceil -n + 2rn \rceil = \lceil (2r - 1)n \rceil \geq (2r - 1)n. \end{aligned}$$

So we can choose $d_r = 2r - 1$.

Now assume $k \geq 2$. Let G_0 be a graph with $|G_0| = n$, and $\chi(G_0) = \lceil rn \rceil$. We need to show that there exists d_r such that $d_r \omega(n, k) \leq \omega(G_0)$. We will construct a sequence of graphs $G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_t$ by repeatedly removing independent sets of size $k + 1$ from G_i to get G_{i+1} until either $\alpha(G_t) \leq k$ or $t > \frac{1-(k-1)r}{2} n$.

Case 1: Suppose $t \leq \frac{1-(k-1)r}{2} n$. Then $\alpha(G_t) \leq k$, and so $\omega(G_t) \geq \omega(|G_t|, k)$.

Let

$$c_r = 1 - \frac{1 - (k - 1)r}{2}(k + 1).$$

Since $r > 1/(k + 1)$, it follows that $c_r > 0$. Also $|G_t| = n - (k + 1)t \geq c_r n$, so $|G_t| \geq \lceil c_r n \rceil$, and therefore $\omega(G_t) \geq \omega(\lceil c_r n \rceil, k)$. Using Lemma 3, we get

$$\omega(G_t) \geq \frac{1}{\left\lceil \frac{1}{c_r} \right\rceil} \omega(n, k). \tag{1}$$

Case 2: Suppose now that $t > \frac{1-(k-1)r}{2} n$. In this case

$$\frac{\chi(G_t)}{|G_t|} \geq \frac{\lceil rn \rceil - t}{n - (k + 1)t} > \frac{\lceil rn \rceil - \frac{1-(k-1)r}{2} n}{n - (k + 1)\frac{1-(k-1)r}{2} n}.$$

This is because $\chi(G_t)/|G_t|$ obviously increasing if we keep removing color classes whose size is above the average size of a color class in an optimal coloring. (One may also show this by checking the monotonicity of $\frac{\lceil rn \rceil - x}{n-(k+1)x}$ for $x < \frac{n}{k+1}$.)

Hence

$$\frac{\chi(G_t)}{|G_t|} > \frac{2r-(1-(k-1)r)}{2-(k+1)(1-(k-1)r)} = \frac{r+kr-1}{1+k^2r-r-k} = \frac{1}{k-1},$$

Let $r' = \chi(G_t)/|G_t|$. We have just seen that $r' > 1/(k-1)$ so $k' := \lceil 1/r' \rceil \leq k-1$. So the hypothesis can be applied to G_t. We deduce that there exists $d_{r'}$ such that

$$d_{r'}\omega(|G_t|, k') \leq Q(|G_t|, \chi(G_t)),$$

so $\omega(G_t) \geq d_{r'}\omega(|G_t|, k') \geq d_{r'}\omega(|G_t|, k)$. But still, $t \leq \frac{1-(k-1)r}{2}n + 1$, so $|G_t| \geq \lceil c_r n \rceil - (k+1)$. Pick an arbitrary positive $c'_r < c_r$ (one can work with $c'_r = 0.99c_r$, if one prefers specific constants). Then for large n we have $|G_t| \geq \lceil c'_r n \rceil$. Hence

$$\omega(G_t) \geq d_{r'}\omega(\lceil c'_r n \rceil, k) \geq d_{r'}\frac{1}{\left\lceil \frac{1}{c'_r} \right\rceil}\omega(n, k)$$

Putting together Case 1 and Case 2, it is shown that we may choose

$$d_r = \min\left(\frac{1}{\left\lceil \frac{1}{c_r} \right\rceil}, \frac{d_{r'}}{\left\lceil \frac{1}{c'_r} \right\rceil}\right).$$

□

Our constants c_r are identical to those of Liu's in case when $r = 1/l$ for some l positive integer. We get horrible constants though for values of r that are just slightly less than $1/l$ for some l positive integer. So these bounds are quite certainly not near optimal, but they are sufficient to show the rate of growth of the sequence.

3. Better upper bound

In the previous section we only proved a weak bound for $Q(n, \lceil rn \rceil)$, because that was all we needed to establish the rate of growth. But that bound is certainly not correct. In the following we show how to get better bounds.

Theorem 4. *Fix* $0 < r \leq 1$. *Let* $k = \lfloor 1/r \rfloor$, *and let* $m = n - k\lceil rn \rceil$, $l = (k+1)\lceil rn \rceil - n$. *Let* $q(\beta, \alpha) = \min \sum \omega(\alpha\beta_i, \alpha)$ *where the minimum is taken over sums* $\sum \beta_i = \beta$ *with* $\beta_i > 0$ *integers. Then*

$$Q(n, \lceil rn \rceil) \leq q(l, k) + q(m, k+1).$$

Proof. We will exhibit a graph on n vertices with chromatic number $\lceil rn \rceil$ and clique number at most $q(l, k) + q(m, k+1)$. To do this, let $l_1, \dots, l_a$ be the numbers that minimize $q(l, k)$, and let $m_1, \dots, m_b$ be the numbers that minimize $q(m, k+1)$. Let $L_1, \dots, L_a$ be Ramsey graphs with $|L_i| = kl_i$, $\alpha(L_i) \leq k$, and $\omega(L_i) = \omega(kl_i, k)$. Similarly, let $M_1, \dots, M_b$ Ramsey graphs with $|M_i| = (k+1)m_i$, $\alpha(M_i) \leq k+1$, and $\omega(M_i) = \omega((k+1)m_i, k+1)$. Now construct G by taking the disjoint union of $L_1, \dots, L_a, M_1, \dots, M_b$, and add every edge between any two of these components. Then clearly, $|G| = kl + (k+1)m = n$, and

$$\chi(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \chi(L_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \chi(M_j) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{|L_i|}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{|M_j|}{k+1} = l + m = \lceil rn \rceil,$$

furthermore

$$\omega(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \omega(L_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \omega(M_j) = q(l,k) + q(m,k+1).$$

Now apply the usual trick of dropping edges until the chromatic number is down to $\lceil rn \rceil$ to get the example graphs. □

Corollary 5.

$$Q(n,\lceil rn\rceil) \le \omega(kl,k) + \omega((k+1)m,k+1)$$

Note that $Q(n,\lceil rn\rceil) \le \omega(kl,k) + \omega((k+1)m,k)$ is a direct consequence of Theorem 2 and sub-additivity of ω in the first variable. But maybe the modest improvement provided by this corollary is sufficient to establish the correct asymptotics of the sequence.

4. Final notes

The bound provided by Theorem 4 is almost certainly not exact, because one can probably improve on it by just choosing sizes more carefully for the Ramsey graphs L_i and M_j. But perhaps the more interesting problem that is left open is to establish an asymptotically correct formula for the sequence $Q(n,\lceil rn\rceil)$. As we mentioned above, we believe that the bounds in Section 3 have a good chance to be asymptotically correct, but proving it would probably require a good understanding of certain restricted clique covers of graphs.

References

[1] Csaba Biró, Zoltán Füredi, and Sogol Jahanbekam, *Large chromatic number and Ramsey graphs*, Graphs Combin. (2012), appeared on-line.

[2] András Gyárfás, András Sebő, and Nicolas Trotignon, *The chromatic gap and its extremes*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **102** (2012), no. 5, 1155–1178.

[3] Gaku Liu, *Minimum clique number, chromatic number, and Ramsey numbers*, Electron. J. Combin. **19** (2012), Research Paper 55, 10 pp. (electronic).